

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/377,821

Applicant(s)

NIHEI, KANAME

Examiner

Douglas Q. Tran

Art Unit

2624

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Douglas Q. Tran.

(3) Hiromi Mizuno.

(2) Catherine M. Voisinet.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 August 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-5.

Identification of prior art discussed: Owa (US Patent No. 6,348,971).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant points out the invention distinguishes over the cited prior art, particular on the prior art does not teach the print data sent to each of a plurality of printers. Examiner agrees the above distinguishing and indicates a close review when receiving an official response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required